Plant Archives Vol. 19 No. 1, 2019 pp. 3000-3004 e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210

QUALITY CHARACTERS OF TRADITIONAL RICE (*ORYZA SATIVA* L.) VARIETIES AS INFLUENCED BY OF EM COMPOST

S. Ramesh, S. Elankavi, G. Baradhan, S.M. Suresh Kumar, G.B. Sudhakar Rao and N. Ramesh

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar – 608 002, India.

Abstract

Field experiment was carried out at the Experimental farm, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar to study the quality characters of traditional rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). varieties as influenced by of EM compost during August 2017 to January 2018 (samba season). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main treatments (traditional rice varieties) *viz.,* Mapillai samba, Illupai poo samba and Seeraga samba, and six sub treatments includes control, recommended dose of nitrogen, graded dose of nitrogen along with different sources of organic manures *viz.,* EM (effective microorganisms) compost, FYM, green manure pressmud. The treatments were replicated thrice. The effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) practices on grain yield and quality character of traditional rice *viz.,* kernel length and breadth ratio before and after cooking, hulling percentage, milling percentage and head rice recovery percentage was critically studied. Among the main plot treatments (traditional rice varieties), Mapillai samba significantly recorded higher values of grain yield, kernel length and breadth ratio before and after cooking, hulling percentage, milling percentage and head rice recovery percentage. In respect of sub plot treatments (Integrated nutrient management), plots received with 75% RDN along with EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1} significantly recorded maximum grain yield and higher values of aforesaid quality parameters of traditional rice. The least values were recorded under control.

Key words*:* Traditional rice variety, INM, EM compost, grain yield, kernel LB ratio, Hulling and milling percentage and Head rice recovery percentage.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the major source of calories for 40 percent of the world population. Similarly, rice is the staple food crop for more than two third of population of India. The slogan “Rice is Life” is most appropriate for India as this crop plays vital role in our national food security and a mean of live hood for millions of rural households. In India, rice is cultivated on 44 million hectare and contributing 104.32 million tonnes grain production with productivity of 2.37 t ha^{-1}. (Anonymous, 2016). The traditional rice varieties can withstand flood, heavy winds and drought situations. The traditional rice varieties have a high level of genetic heterogeneity compared to modern cultivars (Chimmili, 2012). Thus the traditional rice has many significant role on the human health not only in terms of food but also as a medicine (Hedge *et al*., 2013). Literature on the influence of nitrogen on the growth and yield of modern rice across ecosystems abound. However, similar information’s on the traditional varieties are scanty. After the attainment of self-sufficiency in food grains, there has been increasing demand for quality rice, particularly of traditional varieties. Nitrogen management in rice field is different from other crops because of the incessant sub-mergence or intermittent drying and wetting the environment of root zone is converted from aerobic to anaerobic conditions. During these processes loss of nitrogen takes place through leaching and de-nitrification. Increased use of inorganic fertilizers in crop production has deteriorates effect on soil health, causes health hazard and insecurity of quality food, energy crisis, higher fertilizer cost, sustainability in agri-production system and ecological stability are the important issues which renewed the interest of farmers and research workers in non-chemical sources of plant nutrients like, farmyard manure, green manure, composts etc. The use of organic manures for improving and maintaining the soil health has been in practice since long time but its practicability is limited due to poor availability and higher cost of nutrients supplied through organic sources. But in balanced manner use of nutrients through organic sources like farmyard manure, vermicompost, green manure and pressmud are prerequisites to sustain soil fertility, to produce maximum crop yield with optimum input level (Ramesh and vaiyapuri, 2008). Jusoh *et al.,* (2013) found that compost with EM has higher N, P K, and Fe content as compared to compost without EM after laboratory analysis, and concluded that the application of EM in compost increases the macro and micronutrient content of the soil. Grain quality of rice is very complicated, but an important properties in many areas for rice production in the world, mainly defined by four constituents: namely, milling, cooking, appearance and nutritional quality (Li *et al.,* 2003). In rice production, milling quality and head rice recovery percentage is an important factor for determining the farmer income. Judicious and proper use of fertilizers can evidently increase the yield and improve the quality of rice (Yoshida *et al.,* 1981). In view of the above facts, field experiment on "quality characters of traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties as influenced by of EM compost under tail end area of Cauvery deltaic zone of Tamil Nadu.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm, Department of Agronomy, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu during August 2017-January 2018 (samba season) to study the Quality characters of traditional rice varieties as influenced by of EM compost. The experimental soil is low in available nitrogen (217.50 kg ha^{-1}), medium in available phosphorus (20.67 kg ha^{-1}) and high in available potassium (280.73 kg ha^{-1}). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main treatments (traditional rice varieties) *viz.,* Mapillai samba, Illupai poo samba and Seeraga samba and six sub treatments namely., Control (S_1), Recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (S_2), 75 % RDN + FYM @ 12.5 t ha^{-1} (S_3), 75% RDN + EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1} (S_4), 75% RDN + pressmud @ 10 t ha^{-1} (S_5), 75% RDN + Green manure @ 6.25 t ha^{-1} (S_6) and uniform dose of phosphorus and potassium as per fertilizer schedule was given to all the treatments except control. The treatments were replicated thrice. The following organic manures were used in this study *viz.,* FYM, pressmud and EM compost and green manure. EM (effective microorganisms) compost prepared with following procedure, FYM was inoculated with activated effective microorganisms (AEM) solution @ 5 lit/tonne of FYM and heaped. Daily sprinkle water and maintain 60 percent moisture in the compost. After 45 days the compost was ready to apply in mainfield. As per treatment schedule all the organic manures were applied as basal one week before transplanting. Thirty days old paddy seedlings were transplanted @ two seedlings $hill^{-1}$ for all three rice varieties with a spacing of 20×15 cm was adopted. A fertilizer schedule of 100 kg N, 50 kg P_2O_5 and 50 kg K_2O ha^{-1} was applied. N and K is applied as per the treatment schedule in four equal splits *viz.,* basal, tillering, panicle initiation and heading stages of rice. The entire dose of P_2O_5 was applied basally before transplanting. Need based plant protection measures were taken up based on the economic threshold level of pest and diseases. All other improved recommended package of practices were followed to rice as per the Crop Production Guide. Harvesting was done in each plot separately from the net plot area leaving the border rows. Grains were separated, cleaned and grain yield was recorded plot wise at 14 percent moisture content. The data on various characters studied during the course of investigation were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Quality analysis

Kernel length breadth ratio before/after cooking

Five milled rice grain was taken from each treatment and was embedded in 12 ml of distilled water for 10-12 minutes followed by cooking for 15 minutes. Then cooked rice kernals were transferred to petri plates covered with filter paper. Then cooked rice was taken and individually length and breadth was measured in mm (Pellaiyar and Mohandass, 1981).

Kernel length breadth ratio before or after cooking =

$$\frac{Kernal\ Length\ before\ (or)\ after\ cooking}{Kernal\ breadth\ before\ or\ after\ cooking}$$

Hulling percentage

Hulling percentage is the ratio between weight of total brown rice and weight of total rough rice expressed in percentage (Ganga Devi *et al.* 2012). Rice samples were cleaned and then 3 g of grain sample was shelled with the Satake Sheller. The samples were hulled and weights of de-hulled grains were recorded. The formula is as follows:

$$\text{Hulling percentage} = \frac{Weight\ of\ the\ dehusked\ \text{ker}\ nel(g)}{Weight\ of\ husked\ grain(g)} \times 100$$

Milling percentage

Mulling percentage is the ratio between weight of total milled rice and weight of total rough rice expressed in percentage (Ganga Devi *et al*. 2012). The hulled samples were milled and weight of milled grains was recorded. The formula is as follows:

Milling percentage =

$$\frac{Weight\ of\ milled\ grain(g)}{Weight\ of\ husked\ grain(g)} \times 100$$

Head Rice Recovery Percentage (HRR %)

The head rice yield was determined by separating whole grains and 3/4th grains manually and percentage was expressed as:

$$HRR\% \frac{Wt.of\ whole\ milled\ rice(g)}{Wt.of\ rough\ rice\ (G)} \times 100$$

Results and Discussion

Effect of INM practices on grain yield

In respect of grain yield, Mapillai samba (M_1) recorded significantly maximum grain yield of 2574 kg ha^{-1}. This might be due to higher photosynthetic machineries, photosynthetic pigments and photosynthetic rate which could have contributed for greater assimilate supply from source to sink which would have helped in higher yield attributes which in turn registered higher yield of rice. In addition, the aforesaid positive parameters are also governed by genetic makeup of rice cultivar. Especially thousand grain weight was higher under Mapillai samba (M_1), It was almost double the value (25.39g) when compare to other two rice varieties *viz.,* Seerga samba (M_3) and Illupai poo samba (M_2). This is in conformity with the findings of Ghimire *et al*., (2016). The least grain yield of 2076 kg ha^{-1} was recorded under M_2 (Illupai poo samba).

Among the INM treatments, EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1} + 75% RDN (S_4) applied plots registered significantly maximum grain yield of 2967 kg ha^{-1}. The aforesaid increased yield attributes and simultaneous enhanced yield due to inorganic fertilizer along with EM compost might be due to higher nutrient uptake and increased photosynthetic efficiency as evident from increased LAI values. Besides, the constant release of N from organic manure, particularly from EM compost supplemented with NPK fertilizers might have satisfied the demand at every phenophase of rice crop as opined by Singhal *et al.,* (2017). It was followed by S_5 (75% RDN + pressmud @ 10 t ha^{-1}). The least grain yield of 1048 kg ha^{-1} was recorded under S_1(No fertilizer and no organic manure). The interaction effect between main and sub plots were significant. The treatment combination of M_1S_4 (Mapillai samba along with 75% RDN + EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1}) registered the maximum grain yield of 3247 kg ha^{-1}.

Quality characters of rice

Among the main plot treatments, Mapillai samba (M_1) recorded significantly maximum values of LB ratio before cooking of 2.42 and after cooking of 2.69, hulling percentage of 74.06, milling percentage of 67.32 and head rice recovery percentage of 53.32. The next in order of ranking was Illupai poo samba (M_2). This might be due to better ideotype of the plant showing its supremacy in quality characters of rice. In addition, the aforesaid positive parameters are also governed by genetic makeup of rice cultivar. The least values of LB ratio before cooking of 2.12 and after cooking of 2.49, hulling percentage of 70.35, milling percentage of 62.27 and head

Table 1: Effect of INM practices on grain yield quality characters in traditional rice varieties

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})				kernel length breadth ratio before cooking				kernel length breadth ratio after cooking			
	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN
S_1	1176	0922	1047	1048	2.27	2.17	1.98	2.14	2.52	2.44	2.33	2.43
S_2	2198	1749	1965	1971	2.34	2.25	2.05	2.21	2.60	2.52	2.41	2.51
S_3	2804	2215	2487	2502	2.43	2.33	2.13	2.30	2.70	2.62	2.50	2.61
S_4	3247	2698	2955	2967	2.52	2.41	2.20	2.38	2.80	2.71	2.59	2.70
S_5	3052	2484	2746	2761	2.50	2.39	2.18	2.36	2.77	2.69	2.56	2.67
S_6	2967	2389	2652	2669	2.48	2.38	2.17	2.35	2.76	2.67	2.55	2.66
MEAN	2574	2076	2309		2.42	2.32	2.12		2.69	2.61	2.49	
	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M
$S.E_d$	096	067	191	137	0.04	0.005	0.48	0.27	0.03	0.01	0.39	0.23
CD(p=0.05)	195	136	382	278	0.08	0.01	NS	NS	0.06	0.02	NS	NS

Treatment details:

M_1 – Mapillai samba		M_2 – Illupai poo samba		M_3 – Seeraga samba	
S_1 – Control	S_2- Recommended dose of nitrogen	S_3 - 75% RDN + FYM @12.5 t ha^{-1}	S_4 - 75% RDN +EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1}	S_5 - 75% RDN + pressmud @ 10 t ha^{-1}	S_6 - 75% RDN + green manure @ 6.25 t ha^{-1}

Table 2: Effect of INM practices on quality character of traditional rice varieties

Treatments	Hulling percentage				Milling percentage				Head Rice Recovery (%)			
	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN	M_1	M_2	M_3	MEAN
S_1	69.28	67.39	65.81	67.49	62.98	60.78	58.26	60.67	49.88	48.52	47.38	48.60
S_2	71.63	69.68	68.05	69.79	65.12	62.84	60.24	62.73	51.57	50.17	49.00	50.25
S_3	74.34	72.31	70.62	72.42	67.58	65.21	62.51	65.10	53.52	52.06	50.85	52.14
S_4	76.96	74.86	73.11	74.97	69.96	67.51	64.71	67.39	55.41	53.90	52.64	53.98
S_5	76.25	74.17	72.44	74.29	69.32	66.89	64.12	66.78	54.90	53.40	52.16	53.49
S_6	75.88	73.81	72.08	73.92	68.98	66.57	63.81	66.45	54.63	53.14	51.90	53.22
MEAN	74.06	72.04	70.35		67.32	64.97	62.27		53.32	51.87	50.65	
	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M	Main	Sub	M at S	S at M
$S.E_d$	0.87	0.29	3.39	1.26	0.97	0.24	2.78	1.89				
CD(p=0.05)	1.73	0.59	NS	NS	1.98	0.51	NS	NS				

Treatment details:

M_1 – Mapillai samba		M_2 – Illupai poo samba		M_3 – Seeraga samba	
S_1 – Control	S_2 - Recommended dose of nitrogen	S_3 - 75% RDN + FYM @12.5 t ha^{-1}	S_4 - 75% RDN +EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1}	S_5 - 75% RDN + pressmud @ 10 t ha^{-1}	S_6 - 75% RDN + green manure @ 6.25 t ha^{-1}

rice recovery percentage of 50.65 was recorded under Seeraga samba (M_3).

Among the INM practices, plots received with 75% RDN alongwith EM compost @ 5 t ha^{-1} (S_4) registered significantly maximum values of LB ratio before cooking of 2.38 and after cooking of 2.70, hulling percentage of 74.97, milling percentage of 67.39 and head rice recovery percentage of 53.98. Higher availability of nutrients that directly influenced LAI, increased photosynthetic activity assimilate portioning from source to sink might be attributed to better uniform filling of grains, amenability for shelling, good grain size and less number of chalky grains, which in turn registered higher values of LB ratio of rice before cooking and after cooking, hulling and milling percentage and head rice recovery percentage. Similar results were reported by Kyi Moe *et al*., (2017). Besides, organic source (EM compost) and inorganic sources of nitrogen increases in the protein content of rice and a decrease in chaffy grains, further the protein bodies functioned as a binder occupying the space between unpacked starch granules, which resulted in increased resistance of rice grain to breakage during milling, which in turn registered higher values of hulling and milling percentage and head rice recovery percentage. (Sakda jongkaewwattana *et al.,* 1993). The next in order of ranking was S_5 (75% RDN + pressmud @ 10 t ha^{-1}). The least values of LB ratio before cooking of 2.14 and after cooking of 2.43, hulling percentage of 67.49, milling percentage of 60.67 and head rice recovery percentage of 48.60 was recorded under S_1 (No fertilizer and no organic manure). The interaction effect was not significant.

Conclusion

From the results of field trail, it could be concluded that application of 75% RDN along with Effective microorganisms compost @ 5 t ha^{-1} to Mappilai samba rice variety recorded higher grain yield and better quality characters of rice grain.

References

Anonymous (2016). Annual Report, Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Directorate of Agriculture & Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi 110001.

Chimmili, S.R. (2012). Genetic analysis for nutritive traits using medicinal land races of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, TNAU, Coimbatore.

Devi, Ganga M., S. Tirumala Reddy, V. Sumati, T. Pratima and K. John (2012). Nitrogen management to improve the nutrient uptake, yield and quality parameters of scented rice under aerobic culture. *Int. J. of Applied Biol. and Pharma. Tech.*, **3(1):** 340-344.

Ghimire, S., D.P. Sherchan, P. Andersen, C. Pokhrel, S. Ghimire and D. Khanal (2016). Effect of Variety and Practice of Cultivation on Yield of Spring Maize in Terai of Nepal. *Agrotech.*, **5(2):** 1-6.

Gomez, K. and A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures of agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York, USA.

Hegde, S., N. Yenagi, S. Itagi, H.B. Babala and S.K. Prashanthi (2013). Evaluation of red rice varieties fornutritional and cooking quality cultivated underorganic and conventional farming system. *Karnataka J. of Agrl. Sci.*, **26(2):** 288-294.

Jusoh, M.L., L.A. Manaf and P.A. Latiff (2013). Composting of rice straw with effective microorganisms (EM) and its influence on compost quality. *Iranian J. Envi. Health Sci. Eng.*, **10(17):** 1-9.

Kyi Moe, Kumudra Win, Kyaw Kyaw Win, Takeo Yamakawa (2017). Combined Effect of Organic Manures and Inorganic Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Hybrid Rice (Palethwe-1). *American J. of Plant Sci.*, **8:** 1022-1042.

Li, Z., J. Wan, J. Xiao and M. Yano (2003). Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling physico-chemical properties of rice grain. *Breeding Sci.,* **53:** 209-215.

Pellaiyar, P. and R. Mohandass (19810). On the completion of cooking in rice. *Indian J. Nutrition and Diet.*,**18:** 121-122.

Ramesh S. and V. Vaiyapuri (2008). Yield potential and economic efficiency of rice (*Oryza Sativa*) As in influenced by organic nutrition under Cauvery deltaic region of tamil nadu. *Plant Archives*., Vol. 8. P. 621-622.

Sakda jongkaewwattana, Shu geng, Marlin Brandon and James E hill (1993). Effect of N and harvest grain moisture on head rice yield. *Agronomy Journal*, **85:** 1143-1146.

Singhal, D.K., Janardan Yadav, Shiv Singh Meena and Divyesh Chandra Kala (2017). Effect of rice husk biochar, carpet waste, FYM and plant growth promoting rhizobium on the growth and yield of rice. *J. of Applied and Natural Sci.*, **(9):** 2043-2046.

Yoshida, S. (1981). Fundamentals of rice crops science. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos.